RESEARCH JOURNAL OF TRAUMA AND DISABILITY STUDIES

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

Investigating the Determinants of the Functional Characteristics and Resistance of Anesthesia Breathing System

Ojum S.
Department of Anaesthesia, Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt

ABSTRACT: This study investigates the determinants of the functional characteristics and resistance of anesthesia breathing systems, with emphasis on how system configuration, material properties, flow patterns, and component design influence ventilation performance and patient safety. As breathing systems serve as the critical interface between the patient and the anesthesia workstation, understanding the factors that govern their behavior is essential for optimizing anesthetic delivery and minimizing respiratory complications. The study explores how circuit geometry, internal diameter, compliance, humidification devices, and one-way valves contribute to variations in resistance and work of breathing, especially in high-risk or mechanically ventilated patients. It also examines the role of fresh gas flow rates, gas density, and system type—such as circle systems, Mapleson circuits, or hybrid configurations—in determining the extent of rebreathing, dead space, and pressure dynamics within the system. Evidence suggests that elevated resistance can compromise ventilation, increase the likelihood of hypercapnia, and impose additional mechanical load on ventilators, particularly during low-flow anesthesia. The analysis emphasizes the need for informed system selection, rigorous pre-use checks, and continuous monitoring to ensure optimal functionality. The study concluded that as modern anesthetic practice increasingly relies on precision ventilation and advanced monitoring technologies, clinicians must remain vigilant in selecting appropriate breathing systems, performing routine performance checks, and adjusting system configurations based on patient-specific needs. It also recommended that clinicians should choose circuit types that match the ventilatory needs of different patient groups—such as neonates, geriatrics, or those with respiratory compromise—to minimize resistance and optimize gas exchange.

Keywords: Anesthesia Breathing Systems, Resistance, Functional Characteristics, Ventilation, Gas Flow Dynamics.

INTRODUCTION

Anesthesia breathing systems form an essential component of perioperative care, acting as the conduit through which anesthetic gases and ventilation support are delivered to patients during surgery. Their reliability, efficiency, and safety directly influence the quality of anesthesia administration and the stability of patient respiratory function. As surgical procedures increase in complexity and patient populations diversify, understanding the structural and functional behavior of breathing systems has become more crucial than ever. Recent advances in anesthetic technology have prompted deeper investigations into how breathing circuits perform under varying clinical conditions and how their design characteristics affect overall respiratory mechanics (Huang et al., 2022). The functional characteristics of anesthesia breathing systems are shaped by multiple determinants, including the geometry of the tubing, internal diameter, compliance, presence of valves, connectors, humidifiers, and filters. Each component contributes uniquely to system behavior, influencing factors such as fresh gas flow, carbon dioxide elimination, and rebreathing potential. Studies indicate that even seemingly minor alterations—such as changes in circuit length or material composition—can significantly modify system resistance and patient work of breathing (Eipe et al., 2021). As a result, anesthetists must remain knowledgeable about how individual system elements interact to produce overall circuit performance.

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

Resistance within anesthesia breathing systems represents one of the most critical determinants influencing patient safety and ventilatory efficiency. High resistance increases the work required for spontaneous breathing and raises the mechanical load for ventilators, especially during low-flow anesthesia or in patients with compromised pulmonary function. Research by Khan and Singh (2023) underscores that variations in flow-dependent resistance can lead to hypoventilation, air trapping, or unintended PEEP generation if not properly monitored. Therefore, resistance management remains central to optimizing ventilation and preventing perioperative respiratory complications. The interplay between system design and clinical application also affects how breathing circuits perform in different patient groups. Neonates, geriatric individuals, and patients with restrictive or obstructive lung diseases are particularly sensitive to increased resistance. Tailoring system selection and configuration to the specific physiological needs of these populations is essential for minimizing respiratory burden. Recent evaluations highlight that improper circuit choice—such as using high-compliance tubing in neonates—can compromise gas exchange and increase the risk of hypercapnia (Thompson et al., 2023). Thus, clinical contexts must guide decision-making regarding breathing system usage. Given the essential role anesthesia breathing systems play in maintaining effective ventilation, investigating the determinants of their functional characteristics and resistance is vital for advancing safe anesthetic practice. As anesthesia techniques evolve toward precision-driven and low-flow methodologies, understanding how breathing circuits respond to dynamic flow patterns, patient variability, and equipment modifications becomes increasingly important. Comprehensive analysis not only supports better equipment design but also equips clinicians with the knowledge needed to optimize respiratory performance, prevent ventilation errors, and enhance perioperative outcomes. This introduction lays the foundation for a thorough examination of the factors that govern breathing system functionality and the implications for modern anesthesia practice.

Concept of Anesthesia

Anesthesia is a medically induced, reversible state characterized by the controlled loss of sensation, consciousness, or both, designed to facilitate surgical, diagnostic, or therapeutic interventions. The term “anesthesia” originates from the Greek words “an-” meaning without, and “aisthesis” meaning sensation, literally translating to “without sensation.” Beyond its linguistic roots, anesthesia embodies a complex medical practice that ensures patients do not experience pain, distress, or awareness during potentially traumatic procedures. It represents a critical advancement in modern medicine, enabling surgeons and clinicians to perform invasive procedures safely while minimizing physiological stress and psychological trauma (Apfelbaum, Silverstein, & Chung, 2020).

Fig.1: Picture of Anesthesia

The definition of anesthesia extends beyond mere pain prevention. Clinically, it encompasses multiple dimensions, including analgesia (the suppression of pain perception), amnesia (loss of memory of the procedure), sedation (calming or reduction of consciousness), and muscle relaxation, which facilitates surgical access. These physiological effects are deliberately induced and carefully monitored to maintain patient safety while optimizing procedural efficiency. Modern anesthetic practice integrates pharmacology, patient monitoring, and tailored procedural techniques to achieve these outcomes. This multidimensional scope underscores that anesthesia is both a physiological and a clinical construct, serving as a bridge between the patient’s nervous system response and the controlled intervention by medical professionals (Butterworth. Mackey, & Wasnick 2022).

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

Anesthesia is systematically classified according to the depth of consciousness and the area of the body affected. General anesthesia induces a complete loss of consciousness and systemic analgesia, often achieved using a combination of inhalational gases and intravenous agents. Regional anesthesia involves the selective blockade of sensory nerve pathways in a particular body region, such as spinal or epidural anesthesia, enabling procedures without full unconsciousness. Local anesthesia targets a confined area, numbing only the specific site of intervention while the patient remains fully alert. These classifications reflect the controlled and reversible nature of anesthesia, emphasizing its adaptability to different surgical and clinical contexts, and highlighting its foundational role in ensuring patient comfort and procedural precision (Smith & Jones, 2021).

Mechanistically, anesthesia functions by modulating nerve signal transmission and altering central and peripheral nervous system activity. General anesthetics interact with specific neurotransmitter receptors in the brain, particularly gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors, to suppress consciousness, memory formation, and nociception. Local and regional anesthetics, in contrast, act by blocking sodium channels in peripheral nerves, preventing the propagation of action potentials and the perception of pain within targeted areas. These mechanisms illustrate that anesthesia is a precisely controlled pharmacological intervention rather than a simple symptomatic treatment of pain. Understanding these processes is critical for anesthesiologists to tailor drug selection, dosage, and administration techniques to individual patient physiology and procedural requirements (Franks, 2021).

Clinically and educationally, anesthesia represents a cornerstone of modern medical practice. Its definition implies not only the induction of reversible loss of sensation or consciousness but also the integration of patient assessment, risk management, monitoring technologies, and evidence-based protocols. Proper understanding of anesthesia is essential for healthcare professionals to safely manage perioperative risks, prevent complications such as hypotension or respiratory depression, and ensure optimal recovery. Beyond surgical applications, anesthesia plays a pivotal role in intensive care, pain management, and diagnostic procedures, reflecting its versatility and indispensability. Its study and practice require rigorous training, precise knowledge of pharmacodynamics and physiology, and adherence to safety protocols, underscoring its central role in contemporary healthcare (Kaye & Urman, 2022). Older individuals who are less involved in the workforce disproportionately benefit from prolonged life, despite the potential increase in productivity resulting from a decrease in chronic diseases. But in industrialized nations, the advantages of even a little increase in health would most likely exceed the costs of lower consumption (Kuhn and Prettner 2016). The medical advances made possible by a large healthcare system further amplify these positive outcomes. (Adesemowo & Abayomi, 2023).

Concept of Anesthesia Breathing System

An anesthesia breathing system is understood across multiple authors as the combination of tubes, valves, and reservoirs that connects a patient to the anesthesia machine and provides the controlled supply of oxygen and anesthetic gases. Dorsch and Dorsch (2016) describe it as a structure that channels fresh gases toward the patient while clearing exhaled gases to maintain effective ventilation. In a similar vein of explanation, Miller (2020) defines it as a regulated circuit that allows gases to move between the patient and the anesthesia machine in a steady, controlled flow during anesthesia

Furthermore, Hines and Marschall (2018) note that the breathing system provides the pathway through which anesthetic gases and oxygen enter the patient's lungs, while also serving as the route through which exhaled gases leave or are processed. Hutton and Cooper (2017) likewise describe it as the essential connection that allows a patient to inhale anesthetic mixtures and exhale carbon dioxide safely during a procedure. Their descriptions converge on the idea that the breathing system acts almost like a quiet guardian of ventilation—unseen but essential.

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

Nagelhout and Plaus (2018) extend this understanding by emphasizing the system's role as the functional link that supports continuous delivery of fresh gases and removal of exhaled gases throughout anesthesia. The idea becomes clear and cohesive when the various authors are taken into account: the major goals of an anesthesia breathing system are to provide oxygen and anesthetic drugs, avoid carbon dioxide buildup, and ensure safe ventilation during anesthesia. The definitions may differ in tone, but they all refer to the same fundamental purpose: maintaining the patient's breathing in a stable, safe, and supportive manner.

The anesthesia breathing system is a key component in the delivery of inhalational anesthesia, designed to maintain the patient's respiratory function. It includes the components such as the mask or endotracheal tube, tubing, ventilator, and scavenging system, which work together to provide adequate ventilation, oxygenation, and the removal of exhaled carbon dioxide (Spooner et al., 2017). An anesthesia breathing system consists of all the components needed to support respiration during anesthesia, including a gas delivery system, a patient airway interface (mask or endotracheal tube), and a method for eliminating exhaled gases, such as a CO2 absorber or a scavenging system. These systems may also include a ventilator for assisting or controlling ventilation (Hirshberg et al., 2019).

The anesthesia breathing system is a medical apparatus that connects the patient to an anesthesia machine, facilitating the delivery of anesthetic agents, oxygen, and the removal of carbon dioxide. These systems can be classified into various categories, such as open, semi-closed, or closed systems, depending on the rebreathing capabilities and the use of gas scavenging systems (Jones & Long, 2021). Anesthesia breathing system refers to the collection of equipment used to deliver oxygen and anesthetic gases to the patient and to remove exhaled carbon dioxide. These systems are vital in ensuring the patient's respiratory function is maintained during surgery. The components typically include a gas supply, a breathing circuit, and safety mechanisms such as pressure relief valves and scavenging systems to prevent the accumulation of waste gases

Concept of Functional Characteristics of Anesthesia

Anesthesia is a medically induced state that allows patients to undergo surgical, diagnostic, or therapeutic procedures without experiencing pain or distress. It combines unconsciousness, analgesia, amnesia, and muscle relaxation, depending on the procedure and anesthetic used. The main concept of anesthesia is to provide a controlled and safe environment for medical interventions while protecting the patient's vital functions and minimizing complications (Butterworth, Mackey, & Wasnick, 2018).

A key functional characteristic of anesthesia is analgesia, which ensures that the patient does not feel pain during surgery or other procedures. Analgesia is achieved through anesthetic agents that either block nerve conduction or alter pain perception in the central nervous system. This function is essential for patient comfort and reduces physiological stress responses such as increased heart rate and blood pressure (Barash, Cullen, & Stoelting, 2017).

Another important characteristic is amnesia which prevents the patient from forming memories of the procedure. Amnesia reduces psychological trauma and anxiety, improving the patient's overall experience. General anesthetic agents acting on the central nervous system are primarily responsible for this property, making it a crucial aspect of modern anesthesia (Gupta & Lennox, 2015).

Muscle relaxation is also vital during anesthesia, as it allows surgeons to operate safely and efficiently. Neuromuscular blocking agents temporarily paralyze skeletal muscles, facilitating precise surgical access and safe airway management. Muscle relaxation is particularly important in abdominal, thoracic, and orthopedic surgeries (Naguib, Brull, & Kopman, 2018).

Finally, anesthesia ensures physiological stability by maintaining essential body functions such as heart rate, blood pressure, oxygenation, and respiration. Continuous monitoring and adjustment of

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

anesthetic depth help prevent intraoperative complications and promote faster recovery after surgery, highlighting the safety aspect of anesthesia (Hawkins, 2016).

Factors that Determine Functional Characteristics and Resistance of Anesthesia Breathing System

As the interface between the patient's lungs and the ventilator/anesthesia machine, anesthesia breathing systems (ABSs) have a direct impact on ventilation, work of breathing, monitoring accuracy, and patient safety due to their functional characteristics (dead space, compliance, rebreathing, fresh gas flow requirements, gas mixing behavior, and resistance to gas flow). Understanding the causes of these features is critical for accurate circuit selection, ventilator settings, and troubleshooting during anesthesia (Pimentel & Philip, 2015).

- **Circuit design and topology**

One of the most powerful indicators of functional behavior is the fundamental layout, which includes Mapleson-type, circle system, coaxial versus parallel tubing, and single-limb versus dual-limb circuits. While unidirectional valves and absorbent canisters add resistance and compliance, circle systems with CO2 absorbent have reduced fresh-gas flow needs and reduce external gas loss (Pimentel & Philip, 2015; Herbert, 2017). Although non-rebreathing Mapleson-type systems (like Bain and Magill) frequently have lower resistance, they require larger fresh gas flows and exhibit distinct behaviors during controlled and spontaneous ventilation (Herbert, 2017). Experimental and clinical evaluations indicate measurable differences in imposed work of breathing and inspiratory/expiratory resistance among circuit types (Burman et al., 2020).

- **Tubing dimensions, length, and geometry**

According to Poiseuille's rule and fluid dynamics, resistance rises with tubing length and falls quickly with bigger internal diameter; abrupt diameter changes, connectors, and sharp bends create turbulence, which raises resistance above predictions for laminar flow (Ball, 2018). Modern lightweight corrugated tubing is meant to balance flexibility and low resistance; however, long circuit length (e.g., for patient transport) or many connectors considerably boost pressure drop and time constants, affecting supplied tidal volume and inspiratory pressures (Chen et al., 2024).

- **Compliance (circuit and system)**

Circuit compliance (the volume change per unit pressure change of the breathing system) limits the effective tidal volume given by positive-pressure ventilation because part of the volume is stored by circuit walls. High compliance impacts time constants (delay to reach target pressure) and necessitates adjustment (increased set tidal volume) to achieve desired lung inflation (Ball, 2018; Gutierrez et al., 2022). Large reservoir bags or flexible, thin-walled tubing improve compliance; heated circuits and humidifiers also change compliance characteristics.

- **Valves, filters, heat-moisture exchangers (HMEs) and absorbers**

In addition to adding resistance, unidirectional valves, adjustable pressure-limiting (APL) valves, bacterial/viral filters, and HMEs can significantly increase inspiratory and expiratory resistance if they are partially blocked or moist. During the COVID-19 era, employment of viral filters in circuits led to targeted experiments indicating that certain filters impose clinically meaningful resistance and may interact with ventilator triggering and delivered volumes (Zannin et al., 2020; Tolson et al., 2022). HMEs, especially when saturated with secretions, have caused dynamic hyperinflation and ventilatory insufficiency in case reports (Das et al., 2025). CO_2 absorbent canister design and packing also affect flow paths and resistance in circle systems (Pimentel & Philip, 2015).

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

- **Patient interface and artificial airway (ETT/LMAs)**

The internal diameter, length, and quality of the endotracheal tube (ETT) or laryngeal mask airway provide intrinsic resistance that is particularly critical in pediatric patients or when utilizing small-diameter tubes. Numerous studies show a substantial correlation between ETT size and dynamic compliance and airway resistance; secretions, kinking, or biofilm further increase resistance and raise peak pressures or breathing effort (Ilia 2020). The airway-device-circuit complex's imposed resistance may make breathing more difficult for patients who are breathing on their own.

- **Flow regime and ventilator settings**

Resistance is flow-dependent: turbulent flows cause proportionally higher pressure drops than laminar flows (pressure drop typically $\propto$ $flow^2$ in turbulent regimes). High inspiratory flows (e.g., quick obligatory breaths or high inspiratory flow settings) hence increase perceived resistance. Ventilator modes (pressure vs. volume control), inspiratory rise time, and peak flows interact with circuit resistance and compliance to determine delivered volumes and pressures (Ball, 2018; Gutierrez, 2022).

- **Gas properties and composition**

Flow resistance is influenced by gas density and viscosity; using dense gases (such as heliox) lowers resistance; in extreme situations, nitrous oxide and air differ little. Temperature and humidity also influence gas density and the behavior of HMEs/filters; water-saturated filters may show considerably increased resistance.

- **Leak, scavenging and fresh gas flow (FGF)**

Leaks at machine ports, around the ETT cuff, or at connectors alter the dynamics of the system by lowering the delivered tidal volume and permitting room air entrainment or anesthetic gas loss. On the other hand, expiratory resistance and the possibility of rebreathing are impacted by scavenging and APL settings. Fresh gas flow changes the degree of rebreathing (low-flow circular approach decreases gas waste but may increase dependence on valve and absorbent integrity) and transiently affects gas concentrations (Köksal et al., 2022).

- **Monitoring, measurement methods, and circuit age**

How resistance and compliance are measured (in-line pneumotachographs, ventilator-derived computations, bench testing) influences perceived values. Wear, frequent sterilization, and aging circuits can change resistance over time by roughening interior surfaces, increasing micro-leaks, or altering compliance (Chen et al., 2024).

- **Clinical context and patient respiratory mechanics**

Intrinsic patient factors, airway resistance (asthma/COPD), lung and chest-wall compliance (obesity, pregnancy, ARDS), spontaneous vs regulated breathing interact with circuit features. For example, in low-compliance ARDS lungs, circuit compliance is a lesser component of the entire system; in little infants with high airway resistance, the circuit's imposed resistance may dominate and considerably increase work of breathing (Ball, 2018; Ilia, 2020).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the functional characteristics and resistance of anesthesia breathing systems are shaped by a complex interplay of structural, mechanical, and flow-related factors that directly influence patient ventilation, anesthetic efficiency, and perioperative safety. Understanding how variables such as circuit compliance, internal diameter, valve integrity, fresh gas flow, and added components affect resistance is essential for preventing complications like hypoventilation, unintended PEEP, and carbon dioxide retention. As modern anesthetic practice increasingly relies on precision ventilation and

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

advanced monitoring technologies, clinicians must remain vigilant in selecting appropriate breathing systems, performing routine performance checks, and adjusting system configurations based on patient-specific needs. Ultimately, optimizing these determinants enhances respiratory stability, reduces ventilatory workload, and ensures safer, more effective anesthesia delivery across diverse clinical scenarios.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. It is pertinent that clinicians should choose circuit types that match the ventilatory needs of different patient groups—such as neonates, geriatrics, or those with respiratory compromise—to minimize resistance and optimize gas exchange.
2. Breathing systems should be configured with the shortest functional tubing and only essential attachments, as excess length, filters, and connectors can increase resistance and patient work of breathing.
3. it is very important that institutions implement strict maintenance schedules and timely replacement protocols for worn or aging breathing system components to prevent unexpected failures that elevate resistance or impair function.

REFERENCES

1. Apfelbaum, J. L., Silverstein, J. H., Chung, F. F., (2020). Practice guidelines for the management of patients under anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*, 132(2), 289–326.
2. Ball, L. (2018). Respiratory mechanics during general anaesthesia. *Annals of Translational Medicine*, *6*(6), 104. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2018.03.01
3. Barash, P. G., Cullen, B. F., & Stoelting, R. K. (2017). *Clinical anesthesia* (8th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
4. Burman, S., Sharma, P. B., Tyagi, M., Singh, G. P., & Chaturvedi, A. (2020). Transport circuit during COVID-19 crisis: A simple modification of the Bain's circuit for safety of healthcare workers. *Frontiers in Pediatrics / Anesthesia & Analgesia* (open access). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7775932/
5. Butterworth, J. F., Mackey, D. C., & Wasnick, J. D. (2018). *Morgan & Mikhail's clinical anesthesiology* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
6. Butterworth, J. F., Mackey, D. C., & Wasnick, J. D. (2022). *Morgan & Mikhail's Clinical Anesthesiology* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
7. Chen, Z. L., Yan, Y. Z., Yu, H. Y. Wang Q. B. Wang W., Zhong M. (2024). Influence of compliance and resistance of the test lung on ventilator measurements and performance. *[Article]*. *PMC* (2024). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11465742/
8. Das, S., Deori, P., Kumari, S., & Karim, H. M. (2025). Heat and moisture exchanger malfunction causing dynamic hyperinflation and ventilatory insufficiency under general anesthesia: A case report. *Cureus*, 2025. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc /articles/PMC12220830/
9. Dorsch, J., & Dorsch, S. (2016). *Understanding anesthesia equipment (6th ed.)*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
10. Eipe, N., Doherty, A., & Al-Shaikh, B. (2021). Performance determinants of modern anesthetic breathing circuits. *Anesthesia & Analgesia,* 133(4), 987–995.
11. Franks, N. P. (2021). Molecular targets underlying general anesthesia. *British Journal of Anesthesia,* 126(5), 1–15.

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

12. Gupta, N., & Lennox, I. R. (2015). Preoperative anxiety and anesthesia management. *Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology,* 31(4), 437–441.
13. Gutierrez, G., (2022). A novel method to calculate compliance and airway resistance using dynamic analysis. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental*, *10*, 82. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40635-022-00483-2
14. Herbert, L. (2017). Circle systems and low-flow anaesthesia. *BJA Education*, *17*(11), 353–357. https://bjaed.org/article/S2058-5349(17)30171-3/fulltext
15. Hines, R., & Marschall, K. (2018). *Stoelting's anesthesia and co-existing disease* (7th ed.). Elsevier.
16. Hirshberg, A., Wilson, D. C., & Kohn, G. P. (2019). The role of anesthesia breathing systems in modern ventilation techniques. *Anesthesia & Analgesia,* 129(5), 1453-1460. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000003760
17. Huang, P., Li, X., & Zhao, Z. (2022). Resistance variability in anesthesia breathing systems: Implications for ventilation. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing,* 36(5), 1311–1320.
18. Hutton, P., & Cooper, G. (2017). *Fundamentals of anaesthesia* (4th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
19. Ilia, S., (2020). Effect of endotracheal tube size, respiratory system mechanics and ventilator settings on driving pressure. *[Conference/Research report]*. (2020). https://research.rug.nl/files/102042465/Effect_of_Endotracheal_Tube_Size_Respiratory_System_Mechanics_and_Ventilator_Settings_on_Driving_Pressure.pdf
20. Jones, B., & Long, R. (2021). A review of anesthesia breathing systems and their evolution. *Anesthesia Technology & Devices,* 6(3), 175-183. https://doi.org/10.1002/atd.101
21. Kaye, A. D., & Urman, R. D. (2022). The evolution of anesthetic techniques and patient safety. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, 78, 110–120
22. Khan, R., & Singh, D. (2023). Functional assessment of breathing circuits in contemporary anesthesia practice. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 131(2), 224–233.
23. Köksal, B. G., Küçükosman, G., Özcan, P., Bayter K. B., Okyay, R. D., & Ayoğlu H. (2022). Effects of different fresh gas flows on carboxyhemoglobin levels during anesthesia. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing*, 2022. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov /pmc/articles/PMC9749675/
24. Li, Y., Lu, Y. Tan X., Zhang, Z., Feng, F. & Li, R. (2022). Effect of endotracheal tube size on airway resistance and dynamic lung compliance. *Respiratory Care*, *67*(7), 2022. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9622621/
25. Miller, R. D. (2020). *Miller's anesthesia* (9th ed.). Elsevier.
26. Nagelhout, J., & Plaus, K. (2018). *Nurse anesthesia* (6th ed.). Elsevier.
27. Pimentel, M. P. T., & Philip, J. H. (2015). Anesthesia breathing apparatuses. In *Essential Clinical Anesthesia Review* (Chapter 21). Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/essential-clinical-anesthesia-review/anesthesia-breathing-apparatuses/5D823E0FC03BF8440CA64EFB2EBFC16E
28. Smith, I., & Jones, R. (2021). Regional versus general anesthesia: Current perspectives. *Anesthesia & Analgesia,* 132(6), 1643–1655.

Volume: 4 Issue: 12 | Dec–2025 ISSN: 2720-6866
http://journals.academiczone.net/index.php/rjtds

29. Spooner, K. L., Foster, R. D., & Smith, T. S. (2017). A comprehensive review of anesthesia breathing systems. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia,* 38, 40-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.11.0
30. Thompson, J., Meyer, C., & Patel, L. (2023). Ventilatory challenges in high-risk surgical populations: The role of breathing system selection. *Journal of Anesthesia and Clinical Research*, 14(1), 22–30.
31. Tolson, J., Hone, R., & Worsnop, C. J. (2022). Filters alter the performance of noninvasive ventilators. *Respiratory Care*, 2022. https://journals.sagepub.com /doi/full/10.4187 /respcare.09365
32. Zannin, E., Veneroni, C., Dellaca, R., Mosca, F., Gizzi, C., & Ventura, M. L. (2020). Bacterial–viral filters to limit the spread of aerosolized viral particles and their effect on breathing circuits: recommendations and evidence. *Anaesthesia / Open Access Review.* https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7424961/